

TOP SECRET

NRP

DCI/ICS 85-3011
1 October 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED] 25X1
Executive Secretary, CIA

FROM: [REDACTED] 25X1
Executive Officer, ICS

SUBJECT: Response to Action on C-5A Reprogramming 25X1

[REDACTED]
Based on the attached recommendation from our LL Office, I propose that no normal, interim response be sent to Representative Addabbo pursuant to his letter of 5 September 1985. If you concur, we will convey assurances relevant to the congressional staff's concerns, as [REDACTED] suggests, and provide requested cost data to the Committee (with copies to ER) when that information becomes available.

25X1

25X1

Attachment:
As Stated

cc: LL
PBS

THIS MEMORANDUM MAY BE DOWNGRADED
TO UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF TS/B
ATTACHMENT.

TOP SECRET

SUBJECT: Response to Action on C-5A Reprogramming

Distribution: DCI/ICS 85-3011 w/att: BYE-040/1-85
Orig-Addressee (Exec Sec/CIA)

1-ICS/LL

1-ICS/PBS

1-EO/ICS Chrono

1-ICS Registry

25X1

EO/ICS

[redacted] 10/01/85)

25X1

[REDACTED]

30 September 1985

To: EXO/ICS

From: [REDACTED]

STAT

Subject: Tasking in Response to HAC Language in
Letter Approving a Reprogramming for
[REDACTED]

STAT

John - I just saw this & I wish I could have passed along the following before you sent out the attached tasking. I had a conversation with Bob Davis and John Plaschal of the HAC staff about this. Their feeling was that there were several "obvious" (to them) questions about this reprogramming that should have been anticipated; yet, no one seemed to have a good handle on all of the background attendant to this reprogramming. They eventually got the information they were seeking when another HAC staffer happened to be at an Air Force installation and asked the right question of the right people. Messrs Davis & Plaschal said that it was obvious that people were trying to be cooperative, and there was no perception on their part that anyone was "stone-walling" the Committee on this; it just seemed like there wasn't a very good job done in coordinating and scrutinizing this request before it got to the Committee. I'm not sure a formal response is either called for, or wise in this instance. I think the better course might be to informally assure them that we hear what they're saying, that we do try to anticipate the Committee's questions, and that we appreciate the feedback & will stay on top of these actions. Your thoughts?

[REDACTED]
STAT

(85-11-1) Approved For Release 2009/09/02 : CIA-RDP86M00191R000300640013-9

IC STAFF

Routing Slip

TO:	ACTION	COORD	INFO
EO/ICS		JRF	9/25
D/ICS		X	
DD/ICS			
EA-D/ICS		nb	
SA-D/ICS			
SA-D/ICS-EP			
CIRC			
LL		X	
PPS			
PBS	X		
COMIREX			
SIGINT			
HUMINT			
FIPC			
IHC			
SECOM			
CCIS			
SECRETARIAT			
FLC			
AS			
REGISTRY			
DDCI			
SUSPENSE: 1 Oct 85			
Date			
REMARKS: Please prepare appropriate memo to D/NRO per Exec Sec request and send info copy to ER			

4 SEP
EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
ROUTING SLIP

LOGGED
24 SEP 1985

TO:	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1 DCI		X		
2 DDCI		X		
3 EXDIR				
4 D/ICS	X			
5 DDI				
6 DDA				
7 DDO				
8 DDS&T		X		
9 Chm/NIC				
10 GC				
11 IG				
12 Compt	X			
13 D/OLL		X		
14 D/PAO				
15 VC/NIC				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
SUSPENSE: 1 Oct 85				

Remarks

To 4: Please prepare appropriate response to request for additional information (w/copy to ER).

Executive Secretary
23 Sept 85

Date

3637 (10-81)

STAT

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied